

1 Christine Y. Wong, Bar No. 284026  
2 ChristineWong@mofo.com  
3 Morrison & Foerster LLP  
4 425 Market Street  
5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
6 Telephone: 415.268.7000  
7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522  
8 Attorney for Gary Szukalski  
9  
10 Ted W. Cassman, Bar No. 98932  
11 Raphael M. Goldman, Bar No. 229261  
12 goldman@achlaw.com  
13 cassman@achlaw.com  
14 Arguedas, Cassman, Headley & Goldman LLP  
15 803 Hearst Avenue  
16 Berkeley, CA 94710  
17 Telephone: (510) 845-3000  
18 Facsimile: (510) 845-3003  
19 Attorneys for Nicole Eagan  
20  
21 Thomas H. Bienert, Jr., Bar No. 135311  
22 Sandra Lechman, Bar No. 288660  
23 tbienert@bklwlaw.com  
24 slechman@bklwlaw.com  
25 Bienert Katzman Littrell Williams LLP  
26 CalEdison Building  
27 601 W. 5th Street, Suite 720  
28 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
29 Telephone: (213) 528-3400  
30 Facsimile: (949) 369-3701  
31  
32 Stephen Binhak (pro hac vice application imminent)  
33 binhaks@binhaklaw.com  
34 The Law Office of Stephen James Binhak, P.L.L.C.  
35 One Southeast Third Ave., Suite 2600  
36 Miami, Florida 33131  
37 Telephone: (305) 361-5500  
38 Facsimile: (305) 428-9532  
39 Attorneys for Rob Sass

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

23 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

Plaintiff,

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO RESPOND TO RULE 17  
SUBPOENAS : ORDER**

MICHAEL RICHARD LYNCH and  
STEPHEN KEITH CHAMBERLAIN

## Defendants.

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO  
RULE 17 SUBPOENAS Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB**

1 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 45(b), Gary Szukalski, Rob Sass, and  
2 Nicole Eagan respectfully submit this stipulation for a sixty (60) day extension of time in which  
3 to produce documents requested by counsel for the United States in the above captioned matter.

4 On June 8, 2022, this Court issued Rule 17 Subpoenas to Testify and Produce Documents  
5 or Objects in a Criminal Case (the “Subpoenas”) to each of Gary Szukalski, Rob Sass, and Nicole  
6 Eagan (the “Subpoena Recipients”). The deadline to provide responsive documents is currently  
7 October 5, 2022. If the Court grants this stipulation, the response will instead be due Monday,  
8 December 5, 2022.

9 Counsel for the Subpoena Recipients informed counsel for the United States of their  
10 intention to seek this extension. The United States does not oppose and joins in this stipulation.  
11 The Subpoena Recipients have not made any prior requests for an extension of this deadline. In  
12 support of this stipulation, the Subpoena Recipients state the following:

13 1. There is good cause to grant this stipulation. While reserving all rights regarding  
14 objections to the subpoena, the Subpoena Recipients are engaged in discussions with the United  
15 States regarding the scope of documents sought by the Subpoenas.

16 2. In the interest of judicial efficiency and to allow time for these discussions to continue,  
17 the Subpoena Recipients request that this Court allow a 60-day extension of time in which to  
18 produce documents.

19 3. The United States has consented to the requested extension and would not be  
20 prejudiced if it were granted. Moreover, given that this matter is not yet set for trial, the  
21 extension sought will not delay the Court’s proceedings.

22 5. For the foregoing reasons, the Subpoena Recipients respectfully request that, in  
23 accordance with this stipulation, the time in which they may produce documents responsive to the  
24 Subpoenas be extended by sixty days, to and including December 5, 2022.

25  
26  
27  
28 STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO  
RULE 17 SUBPOENAS  
Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

SF:4937486

1 Dated: October 3, 2022

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

3 By: /s/ Christine Y. Wong  
4 Christine Y. Wong

5 Attorney for Gary Szukalski

6 Dated: October 3, 2022

7 By: /s/ Ted W. Cassman  
8 Ted W. Cassman

9 Attorney for Nicole Eagan

10 Dated: October 3, 2022

11 By: /s/ Thomas H. Bienert, Jr.  
12 Thomas H. Bienert, Jr.

13 Local Counsel for Rob Sass (Stephen James  
14 Binhak Pro Hac Vice Motion Imminent)

15 Dated: October 3, 2022

16 By: /s/ Adam A. Reeves  
17 Adam A. Reeves

18 Assistant United States Attorney

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO  
RULE 17 SUBPOENAS  
Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

SF:4937486

1 Christine Y. Wong, Bar No. 284026  
2 ChristineWong@mofo.com  
3 Morrison & Foerster LLP  
4 425 Market Street  
5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
6 Telephone: 415.268.7000  
7 Facsimile: 415.268.7522  
8 Attorney for Gary Szukalski  
9  
10 Ted W. Cassman, Bar No. 98932  
11 Raphael M. Goldman, Bar No. 229261  
12 goldman@achlaw.com  
13 cassman@achlaw.com  
14 Arguedas, Cassman, Headley & Goldman LLP  
15 803 Hearst Avenue  
16 Berkeley, CA 94710  
17 Telephone: (510) 845-3000  
18 Facsimile: (510) 845-3003  
19 Attorneys for Nicole Eagan  
20  
21 Thomas H. Bienert, Jr., Bar No. 135311  
22 Sandra Lechman, Bar No. 288660  
23 tbienert@bklwlaw.com  
24 slechman@bklwlaw.com  
25 Bienert Katzman Littrell Williams LLP  
26 CalEdison Building  
27 601 W. 5th Street, Suite 720  
28 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
29 Telephone: (213) 528-3400  
30 Facsimile: (949) 369-3701  
31  
32 Stephen Binhak (pro hac vice application imminent)  
33 binhaks@binhaklaw.com  
34 The Law Office of Stephen James Binhak, P.L.L.C.  
35 One Southeast Third Ave., Suite 2600  
36 Miami, Florida 33131  
37 Telephone: (305) 361-5500  
38 Facsimile: (305) 428-9532  
39 Attorneys for Rob Sass

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

24 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

25 Plaintiff,

**[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING  
TIME TO RESPOND TO RULE 17  
SUBPOENAS**

27 MICHAEL RICHARD LYNCH and  
STEPHEN KEITH CHAMBERLAIN,

[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO  
RULE 17 SUBPOENAS  
Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

1 Defendants.  
2

3 **FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN**, based upon the facts set forth in the stipulation and the  
4 representations of the parties, the Court hereby grants Gary Szukalski, Nicole Eagan, and Rob  
5 Sass (the “Subpoena Recipients”) a sixty (60) day extension of time in which to produce  
6 documents in response to the June 8, 2022 Court issued Rule 17 Subpoenas to Testify and  
7 Produce Documents or Objects in a Criminal Case (the “Subpoenas”).

8 The Subpoena Recipients’ deadline to produce documents responsive to the Subpoenas is  
9 extended by sixty days, to and including December 5, 2022.

10 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

11  
12  
13  
14 Dated: October 5, 2022

15   
16 THE HONORABLE CHARLES R. BREYER  
17 United States District Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO  
RULE 17 SUBPOENAS  
Case No. 3:18-cr-577-CRB

SF:4938166